

Proposed feedback for the Hounster Hill Feasibility Study

Recommendations:

1. Although some aspects have been discounted, some of which were discussed in meetings where MPC were not present, these should be included in the study, with reasons why they have been discounted:
 - Military Road – issues with subsidence etc.
 - Using West Street Car park – the bridge is not deemed strong enough to carry the extra traffic
 - Cormac does not recommend the use of mirrors, yet they are used in other parts of the country – many people are not aware of the reasons why mirrors are not supported.
 - Other discounted physical traffic measures.

2. An impact table, as shown on the example on pages 3 and 4 should be included on within the study. Ranks 8 & 9, shown in red should also be included in the Feasibility Study.

3. MPC have not been given the opportunity to review the draft study, therefore, should not be charged any extra.

4. Please note the comments regarding the Wig-wag. The impacts of the system are unknown. MPC would need to know the costs of a trial.

Rank ref	Recommended Action	Comments
1	Construction of a new road	Whilst effective on a local level, for the wider peninsula the additional traffic created means there is the potential to exacerbate other local pinch points.
2	Wig-Wag Warning lights with a detector	Aecom have said this an untried method for these circumstances and without a trial it is unclear this would work There is the risk that outbound vehicles may struggle to leave the village at busy times. Would there be a cost to MPC to trial the wig-wag system? If yes, what would the approximate cost of the trial be?

3	Long vehicle restrictions	<p>Whilst effective on a local level, for the wider peninsula the additional traffic created means there is the potential to exacerbate other local pinch points. MPC would need more information on the logistics how the goods which cannot be transported on larger vehicles are brought onto the Rame Peninsula. When the Rame Peninsula Neighbourhood Plan those involved were not aware of the issues with Military Road.</p>
4	Delivery and servicing plan	<p>Siting of signage crucial and access to existing industrial sites on the B3247 between Millbrook and Tregantle would need to be maintained. Need to understand implications for other businesses such as the boatyard. Applicable for all building developments? Concerns regarding ongoing enforcement and ongoing cost implications for PC</p>
5	Use of Smaller HGVs by businesses	<p>As above (rank 4), concerns about lack of enforceability</p>
6	Improvements of Non-physical Highway Features	
7	Removing Property and improving the B3247	<p>Negative impact on local housing stock. Would relocate the problem to other parts of the peninsula.</p>
8	Incorporate wider sustainable transport strategy	
9	Measures to indicate shared pedestrian space. (Different colours and texture) - e.g., refer to Bodmin	

Rank ref	Aecom Recommended Action	Cost	Address the safety of vulnerable road users in Dodbrook / Hounster Hill area (pedestrians, cyclists and those with disabilities)	Reduces congestion in the Dodbrook / Hounster Hill area	Vehicle strikes on buildings	Quality of life for the wider community (Rame Peninsula)	Supporting local businesses	Climate emergency	MPC Achievable within next five years	Longer term vision
1	Construction of a new road	Approx. £36 to £46 million	✓✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓✓	x	Neutral	xxx	No	Unclear
2	Wig-Wag Warning lights with a detector	Approx. £15k	Unclear	Unclear	Neutral	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Dependant on trial
3	Long vehicle restrictions	Approx. £19k	✓	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓	✓	Neutral	Yes	Dependant on whether works are carried on Military Road
4	Delivery and servicing plan	Approx.£42k	Unclear	✓ ?	✓ ?	✓	✓	Neutral	Yes	?
5	Use of Smaller HGVs by businesses	Approx. £37k	Unclear	✓ ?	✓ ?	✓	✓	Neutral	Yes	?

6	Improvements of Non-physical Highway Features	Approx. £3k	Neutral	Marginal benefit	Marginal benefit	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	n/a
7	Removing Property and improving the B3247		✓✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓✓	x	x	xx	No	No
* 8	Incorporate wider sustainable transport strategy		✓	✓	Neutral	✓✓	✓	✓✓	Unlikely	Yes
* 9	Measures to indicate shared pedestrian space. (Different colours and texture – ref to Bodmin)		✓	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Marginal	Marginal	Yes	Yes

*8 and 9: MPC recommends these are included.